# Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



Ag 83 F

NATIONAL ACROSTAL \*

INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS

as a TOOL in

Agricultural Marketing Research

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Economic Research Service
Marketing Economics Division

Reprint from
Agricultural Economics Research
July 1962



# Input-Output Analysis as a Tool in Agricultural Marketing Research

By William H. Waldorf

Economists engaged in agricultural marketing research are frequently called on to obtain information on industrial markets for farm products, costs of advertising and packaging these products, and tax outlays by marketing agencies. Besides these essentially descriptive questions, they may also be asked to appraise such analytical problems as the effect of various farm programs on industries processing and distributing farm products and, of particular current interest, the impact of the European Common Market and of proposed foreign trade programs on American farmers and on agricultural marketing agencies. Anyone familiar with Leontief (9) 1 input-output economics will readily see that these descriptive and analytical questions—and a host of similar ones can be organized and studied within the interindustry framework. A review of the literature indicates that agricultural economists have used inputoutput analysis extensively in production and regional research (7, 10), but its application to agricultural marketing problems has hardly been explored.2 The main purposes of this paper are (1) to illustrate a few of the more obvious applications of inputoutput research to problems in agricultural marketing, and (2) to present a recent special aggregation of the Bureau of Labor Statistics Interindustry Study for 1947 (5) which can serve as a benchmark for future work in this area.3 The aggregation highlights farm sectors and agricultural marketing sectors detailed in the Bureau of Labor Statistics approximately 500-sector model. Description of the tables is limited to the essentials needed for an understanding of the suggested applications. The mathematics underlying the analysis appears in a technical appendix (pages 99-101). The author expresses his apprecia-

tion to Allen Paul and Frank deLeeuw for their helpful comments.

INPUT-OUTPUT economics comprises (1) the construction of a descriptive transactions table which shows the dollar amount of purchases by each "industry" from each other "industry" in some given period; and (2) input-output analysis which attempts to "convert" this descriptive table to a "predictive" tool. These two aspects of input-output research should be distinguished. Controversy rages about the usefulness of this type of research as a predictive tool, not about the usefulness of the descriptive transactions table. (See pp. 102–111 for tables referred to in this paper.)

Rejection of input-output analysis should not automatically result in rejection of the descriptive value of the transactions table. In agricultural marketing research, for example, the transactions table can be a very useful supplement to descriptive aggregative series on the total marketing bill for domestic farm food products, the market basket, and the farm share. It can also supplement information on output, productivity, prices, and hourly earnings currently available for the food marketing sector. More broadly, it supplements other descriptive statistics on national income and money flows. Even if we are skeptical about the input-output framework as a predictive tool, we may want it for descriptive purposes.

In effect, the Leontief input-output model simply organizes within an integrated framework much fact-finding that agricultural marketing economists do piecemeal. These organized data can then be used to anticipate "answers" to a broad array of descriptive and analytical questions—at least for a first approximation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Italic numbers in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, page 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a notable exception, see Davis and Goldberg (3).
<sup>3</sup> The U.S. Department of Commerce and the U.S. Department of Agriculture are currently constructing an input-output matrix for 1958 based on recent census data. This latest effort of the U.S. Department of Agriculture will up-date the earlier estimates for farming sectors for 1955 made by Robert Masucci and others in the Department (11).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For definitions of statistics on the marketing bill, the market basket, and the farm share as used by the U.S. Dept. Agr., see Mktg. and Trans. Situation (15).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For evaluation of the Leontief input-output model see Nat. Bur. Econ. Res. (12); see particularly the chapter by Carl F. Christ, "A Review of Input-Output Analysis," pp. 137–171, and the comment on Christ's paper by Milton Friedman, pp. 171–174.

# Interindustry Transactions

### Description

Table 1 shows intersector flows of goods and services in *producers*' prices (that is, sellers' prices) by industry of origin and destination. It is divided into two main sections: (1) The processing or intermediate sectors which purchase goods and services in order to carry out their own production for sale to either (a) other intermediate sectors for further processing, or (b) final demand sectors; and (2) the final demand, or autonomous, sectors which make autonomous or independent demands on the intermediate sectors.

Purchases by autonomous sectors (consumption, investment, and others) are recorded in the columns on the right side of the table and, except for competitive imports and inventory depletions, charges against these sectors (wages and salaries, proprietors' income, property income, depreciation, taxes, and so on) are recorded at the bottom of the table.

Competitive imports (imports which are "highly" substitutable for products made in continental United States) and inventory depletions, which are charges against the respective autonomous sectors, are shown on the right side of the table and the entries are prefixed with minus signs. This means that gross domestic output, the last column in the table, measures the value of current domestic product.

Reading across a row of table 1 we see, for the sector named at the beginning of the row, its intrasector transactions, its deliveries to other processing sectors, and its deliveries to autonomous sectors in 1947. Thus, in 1947, the meat packing industry sold \$110.1 million of its gross output to itself, its sales to poultry-dressing plants were \$1.0 million, its sales to canning, preserving and freezing were \$37.5 million. Looking at the meat packing sector's sales to the autonomous sector, \$7,840.7 million—or three-fourths of its total gross output—went for household consumption; sales for export were \$306.6 million, compared with \$125.8 million in competitive imports.

Reading down the columns of table 1 we see the purchases—or inputs—of each sector. Returning to the previous illustration, the meat packing sector purchased \$7,870.8 million in meat animals from the farm sector, \$2.4 million from the poultry and eggs sector, intrasector purchases were \$110.1

million, and so on. Looking at the charges against final demand, the meat packing sector had outlays of \$137.9 million for Federal taxes, \$16.4 million for State and local taxes, and \$1,404.9 million for wages and salaries, profits, depreciation, proprietors' income, property income, and other charges.

Total dollar purchases (including profits and taxes) are defined equal to total dollar sales, thus gross domestic outlay (column total) is equal to gross domestic output (row total) for each of the intermediate sectors separately. For the autonomous sectors, column and row totals are not equal for each individual sector; the sum of the columns for all final demand sectors combined is equal to the combined sum of the rows. This sum is roughly equal to Gross National Product.

The dollar transactions shown in table 1 are all in producers'—not purchasers'—prices; retail charges, wholesale charges, transportation charges, and other distribution costs required to distribute the goods from the producer (seller) to the purchaser are entered as explicit purchases (inputs) by the purchasing sector. This means that purchases of the meat packing sector of \$7,870.8 million in meat animals from the farm sector are in farm prices; the charges for transporting and wholesaling the live animals between the farm gate and the meat packing plant are shown in the transactions table as purchases by the meat packing sector from the transportation sector and from the wholesale trade sector. Similarly, sales of "finished" commodities by processors are not traced through wholesale and retail trade and therefore are not treated as inputs into the wholesale and retail sectors. Use of this flow process would cause products to lose their identity once they "passed through" a distributive sector.

The treatment of transportation, wholesale, and retail margins in the input-output model should perhaps be amplified. Margins can be looked at from the costs side as the sum of labor costs, capital costs, and so on in distributing goods, or from the expenditures side as the value of output of distributive services. (This is, of course, analogous to the income and expenditures approaches in national income accounting.) Agricultural economists generally focus attention on the cost side. The input-output framework, on the other

 $<sup>^{\</sup>circ}$  For several exceptions to this, see Daly (2) and Fourt (6).

hand, treats transportation, wholesale and retail margins as *output* of these distributive sectors implicitly valued in "base" period prices. That is, the wholesaling and retailing margins shown in table 1 are defined as the value of the 1947 output of these distributive sectors in 1947 dollars. This explicit construction of output of distributive sectors makes the input-output framework especially useful in agricultural marketing research.

The broad aggregation of the distributive sectors in the BLS Interindustry Study hampers somewhat its use in agricultural marketing research. A further breakdown of transportation, wholesale trade, and retail trade by food and nonfood commodities would enable agricultural market research workers to study costs of nonfarm inputs in food distribution as well as in food manufacturing. Though this disaggregation was not done in the BLS Interindustry Study for 1947, it should be at least as feasible with available data as it was in some of the breakdowns actually made.

# **Applications**

For agricultural marketing research perhaps the most obvious application of table 1 is in the study of markets for raw farm products and for processed farm products. Reading across the row of the grain-mill products sector, for example, shows the dependence of this sector's sales—and consequently the sales of farm grains—on direct purchases by intermediate sectors; less than a fifth of the gross output of the sector was delivered to households for consumption.

A second application of table 1 is to be found in the detailed breakdown of costs underlying the marketing bill for farm foods that it shows. Thus, in 1947, the meat packing sector purchased \$54.9 million from the packaging supplies sector whereas the canning, preserving, and freezing (except fish) sector purchased \$359.2 million. A more detailed breakdown of inputs would show outlays for advertising by sector. This detail is actually given in the BLS study, but it is subsumed in the sector labeled "Communications, business and personal services" in our aggregation.

There has been some discussion in the literature on the concept of the Farm-Food Marketing Bill. (13) The question is whether a more "net" concept than is now being used might not be better for studying problems of resource allocation. The sum of the rows showing sector payments to Federal, State, and local governments, gross capital

formation, and payments to households (rows 101, 102, 103, and 105) is a measure of "value added" in production of the sector named at the top of the column. Thus, this table lends itself to considering various concepts of the marketing bill. We could, for example, estimate the value added (that is, factor requirements in 1947 prices) by each sector in order to deliver a dollar in meat products to households.

### Direct Purchases

Table 2 shows the direct purchases of inputs per dollar of output for each intermediate sector; that is, it shows the unit costs structure for each processing sector in 1947. For example, payments by the meat packing sector to the farm sector for meat animals accounted for 76.07 cents of every dollar of sales by the meat packing industry, intrasector purchases accounted for about 1 cent of every dollar of sales, and so on.

Table 2 is derived from table 1 (after some modification) by dividing the transactions in each column by gross current domestic output of the particular sector named at the head of the column. We see that the 76.07 cents figure quoted for the meat packing sector was essentially obtained by dividing that sector's dollar purchases of meat animals (\$7,871 million) by the gross output of the meat packing sector (\$10,346 million) shown in table 1.

The descriptive uses of table 2 for agricultural marketing research are fairly evident. The table shows the relative importance of costs (in 1947) for different inputs within each marketing sector. Also, it shows the "farm share" of the wholesale dollar by agricultural processing sector. (If the distribution sectors were less aggregated the "farm share" of the retail dollar could also be readily computed along with a detailed breakdown of costs by distributive sectors in the "market share.")

Input-output analysis—that is, "converting" table 2 from a purely descriptive to an analytical tool—rests on the critical simplifying assumption that the ratios ("coefficients of production") shown in the table are fixed. These ratios are viewed as reflecting physical input requirements per unit of output, expressed in 1947 prices; and changes in these unit requirements are assumed to be small enough so that they can be neglected in short-run analysis. This means, it assumes that for the fore-

casting period considered, unit "physical" input requirements remain essentially unchanged regardless of changes in the level of output or in relative prices of inputs. Given this critical assumption and the quality of data available for constructing the transactions table, even shortterm predictions based on the input-output framework should at best be regarded only as first approximations.7 For long-term projections, the input-output tables can be used as a "base" and supplemented with other information on trends, in "production coefficients," and so on. For some purposes these approximations may be "good enough"; for many others they will probably be the best we can get with limited budget and time; but they are at best first approximations.

Since the purpose of this paper is to illustrate applications of input-output economics in agricultural marketing research, we shall assume the validity of fixed coefficients for expository purposes and describe some "potential" analytical applications of input-output analysis.

# Direct and Indirect Requirements Description

Table 2 shows direct requirements only; one of the more interesting and important uses of inputoutput economics is to measure indirect requirements. The meaning of indirect requirements can perhaps most easily—and intuitively— be understood by illustration, with the use of table 2.

Table 2 shows that, in 1947, \$1 of gross output in the grain-mill products sector was accompanied by \$0.06342 in intrasector transactions. means that in order to deliver \$1 of output outside of this processing sector (for example, for household consumption), and to allow for these intrasector transactions, the grain-mill products sector had to have a gross output of at least \$1.06342. Other entries in this column show that for each \$1 of output, this sector purchased \$0.34183 from the food grain sector, \$0.11959 from the feed grain sector, and so on. Hence, in order for the grainmill products sector to produce \$1.06342 in gross output, it purchased \$0.36351 (1.06342 × 0.34183) from the food grain sector, \$0.12717 (1.06342× 0.11959) from the feed grain sector and so on.

Turning to the next stage, table 2 shows that in the food grain sector \$1 in gross output is accompanied by \$0.06774 in intra-industry transactions, \$0.07515 in purchases from the feed grain sector, \$0.00690 in purchases from the grain-mill sector and so on. Thus, in order to supply the grain-mill products sector with \$0.36351 in feed grains and so on, so that the grain-mill products sector could in turn deliver \$1 in output outside the processing sectors, the food grain sector had  $\$0.02462 \ (0.36351 \times 0.6774)$  in intrasector transactions, it purchased  $$0.02732 (0.36351 \times 0.07515)$ from the feed grain sector, \$0.00251 (0.36351× 0.00690) from the grain-mill products sector, and so on. (This pro-rata allocation is, of course, another critical assumption; particularly critical because of the level of aggregation used in table 2.) Similarly, by looking at the coefficients of the feed grain sector in table 2, we see that intrasector transactions in the feed grain sector were \$0.01209  $(0.12717 \times 0.09504)$ , purchases from the grain-mill sector were  $$0.00092 (0.12717 \times 0.00720)$  and so on.

Adding the figures through these stages shows that in order to deliver the \$1 in output to the final demand sector, the grain-mill products sector had a gross output of at least \$1.06685 (1.06342 + 0.00251 + 0.00092), the food grain sector had a gross output of at least \$0.38813 (0.36351+0.024624), the feed grain sector had a gross output of at least \$0.16658 (0.12717+ 0.02732+0.01209), and so on. This iterative process can, of course, be carried through further stages. Also, the figures as computed are related to deliveries outside the processing sectors and include intrasector transactions; they can be related to total production, including intrasector transactions, or to total production, excluding intrasector transactions (14).

Table 3 summarizes the combined direct and indirect requirements from each sector to support shipments from the intermediate sectors to the final demand sectors. It is the *transpose* of the inverse of the matrix obtained by subtracting the coefficient matrix (table 2) from the identity matrix.<sup>8</sup> Reading across a row of table 3, we see the direct and indirect requirements on each sector in order to ship \$1 in gross output to a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> For a brief review and bibliography of tests of the validity of the input-output model, see Chenery and Clark (1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> For a simplified discussion of the relationship between the iterative process and the simultaneous solution of a system of equations, see Dorfman, Samuelson, and Solow (4).

final demand sector. Thus, in order for the meat packing sector to deliver \$1 in gross output to the household sector, \$0.878810 is required in meat animals from the farm sector, \$0.000001 in poultry and eggs from the farm sector and so on. Similarly, in order for the canning, preserving, and freezing (excluding fish) sector to ship \$1 in gross output to households, industries engaged in manufacturing packaging materials had to produce \$0.18 in gross output, both directly and indirectly.

Reading down the columns of table 3 shows the dependence of each sector on the activity of other sectors. Thus, in the column for the meat packing sector we see that for \$1 in gross output shipped outside the intermediate sectors by the meat animals sector, \$0.000003 is required from the meat packing sector; for \$1 in gross output shipped by the poultry and eggs sector, \$0.000173 is required from the meat packing sector; and so on. If we mark out a submatrix, say sectors 11 through 28, we can see the intradependence of these agricultural marketing industries.

### Applications

The traditional and probably most important use conceived for input-output analysis is to show the effect of a change in final demand on the gross output of individual sectors. This can be illustrated for the grain-mill products sector with the use of table 3. Column 15 of table 3 shows the total requirements of each sector on the grainmill products sector in order for the purchasing sector to deliver \$1 for final demand. If we "apply" this column to the bill of goods demanded by the household sector in 1947 (table 1), we find that both direct and indirect requirements on the grain-mill products sector totaled \$3,317.2 million. Comparing this figure with the figure for direct delivery to households (\$993.5 million) shown in table 1 indicates that indirect requirements on the grain-mill products sector were \$2,323.7 million, or 70 percent of the total. The same procedure can, of course, be applied to other sectors individually, or to all sectors simultaneously.

Closely related to applications already noted is the use of input-output analysis to study the effect of a change in final demand on resource requirements. If data on unit-man-hour requirements (man-hours per unit of gross output) by sector are available, we can apply these to the

inverse matrix in order to estimate the change in man-hour requirements resulting from a change in final demand. If each of the coefficients in table 3, column 15 were multiplied by man-hour requirements per \$1 of gross output in the grainmill products sector in 1947; and if to these figures we applied the end-product deliveries to the household sector in 1947, the result would show estimates of the man-hours required in the grain-mill products sector in order to meet each item in the 1947 household bill of goods. For example, the first entry in the grain-mill column would be an estimate of the man-hours in the grain-mill industry needed to meet the 1947 final demand for meat animals after tracing through all the direct and indirect requirements. The inverse can also be used to derive approximate "labor intensities" or "employment multipliers" by sector which could be used in studies of industrial location for rural development.

An analysis similar to that for man-hour requirements can be done for any limited resource (waterpower, fuel, and so on) or for total factor inputs (value added). Each of these analyses would of course require additional simplifying assumptions, and probably adjustments, based on a knowledge of the sectors studied; these empirical questions must be carefully considered by researchers.

All of the applications that have been discussed for a national model apply also to regional inputoutput models, except that regional models can also be used to study interregional as well as interindustry flows of goods and services. Because of lack of regional data, many agricultural economists engaged in regional input-output studies have had to rely on input coefficients computed from the BLS Interindustry Study for the country as a whole. While this introduces an additional tenuous assumption, it does suggest that work on the national level may be a stimulus to regional efforts. The "ultimate" model, as one might guess, would be a national input-output table that showed interregional as well as interindustry flow (8).

# Technical Appendix—The Mathematical Model

The economy is treated as comprising (n+1) sectors; n of these are intermediate or processing sectors and the remaining sector is the final de-

mand or autonomous sector. The technical difference between the intermediate and autonomous sectors is that we assume, at least as a first approximation, that while we can establish a simple structural connection among the intermediate sectors, the autonomous "bill of goods" has no such simple restriction on its relations to other sectors.

Let the gross output of the ith sector in any given period be  $X_i$ . Some or all of this output will be sold to intermediate sectors (including the ith, itself) for further processing; let the quantity sold by the  $i^{th}$  sector to the  $j^{th}$  sector be  $X_{ij}$ . The other part of the output of the ith sector will be sold to the final demand sector; let this quantity be  $Y_i$ . Then our *n* relations are as follows:

$$X_{1} = X_{11} + X_{12} + X_{13} + \dots + X_{1n} + Y_{1n}$$

$$X_{2} = X_{21} + X_{22} + X_{23} + \dots + X_{2n} + Y_{2n}$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$X_{n} = X_{n1} + X_{n2} + X_{n2} + \dots + X_{nn} + Y_{nn}$$

Table 1, the transactions table, consists of these n relations, plus payments to the final demand sector.

In order to "convert" this descriptive table to an analytical tool, we assume that the purchase of any given intermediate sector from another intermediate sector is a function of the output of the purchasing sector and-more critically-that we can approximate this functional relationship by assuming proportionality—i.e., fixed coefficients between the sector's inputs and its output. That is, the purchases of the  $j^{th}$  sector from the  $i^{th}$  sector,  $X_{ij}$ , is proportional to the output of the  $i^{th}$  sector. Thus,

$$\frac{X_{ij}}{X_i} = a_{ij}$$

or

$$(2) X_{ij} = a_{ij}X_j$$

From equations (1) and (2) we have,

$$X_{1} = a_{11}X_{1} + a_{12}X_{2} + \dots + a_{1n}X_{n} + Y_{1}$$

$$X_{2} = a_{21}X_{1} + a_{22}X_{2} + \dots + a_{2n}X_{n} + Y_{2}$$

$$\vdots \qquad \vdots \qquad \vdots$$

$$X = a$$
  $X + a$   $X + b$   $+ a$   $Y + Y$ 

$$X_n = a_{n1}X_1 + a_{n2}X_2 + \dots + a_{nn}X_n + Y_n$$

or in matrix notation

$$\begin{array}{cc} \text{(4)} & x = Ax + y \\ \text{where,} & \end{array}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{bmatrix} \qquad y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ Y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

The direct purchases table (table 2), is, of course, the matrix A. To find the output for each sector necessary to meet a bill of final demand, we solve (4) for x. The result is,

$$(5) x = (I - A)^{-1}y$$

where I is the identity matrix and  $(I-A)^{-1}$  is the inverse of the identity matrix minus the coefficient matrix. Table 3 is the transpose of this inverse matrix. (The matrix was transposed in order to facilitate computation of inner products with a desk computer.) It shows for each sector both its direct and indirect requirements per unit of gross output.

To study, say, man-hour requirements by sector in order to meet a bill of final demand, we assume a fixed unit man-hour requirement by sector. That is,

$$\frac{M_i}{X} = k_{ii}$$

or

(6) 
$$M_i = k_{ii}X_i$$
  $(i=1, 2, \ldots, n)$ 

where  $M_i$  is the number of man-hours employed

in the production of  $X_i$ , and  $k_{ii}$  shows unit manhour requirements in production in  $X_i$ . In matrix notation,

(7) 
$$m = Kx$$
 where,

 $K = \begin{bmatrix} k_{11} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & k_{22} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & k_{n\pi} \end{bmatrix}$ 

$$m = \begin{bmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ M_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Substituting (5) in (7),

$$(8) m = K(I - A)^{-1}y$$

In general, we can follow the same procedure for any limited resource—if we are willing to assume proportionality.

### Literature Cited

- (1) Chenery, Hollis B., and Clark, Paul G. 1959. Interindustry economics. New York, John Wiley.
- (2) Daly, Rex F.

  1956. The long-run demand for farm

  PRODUCTS. Agr. Econ. Res. 8:

  73-91. July.
- (3) Davis, John A., and Goldberg, Ray A.
  1957. A concept of agribusiness. Cambridge. Harvard Univ. Press.
- (4) Dorfman, Robert; Samuelson, Paul A., and Solow, Robert M.

  1958. Linear programming and eco-

1958. LINEAR PROGRAMMING AND ECO-NOMIC ANALYSIS. New York, McGraw-Hill. (Rand Series)

- (5) Evans, Duane W., and Hoffenberg, Marvin 1952. The interindustry relations study for 1947. Rev. Econ. and Statis. 34:97–142.
- (6) Fourt, Louis Albert
  1959. Empirical income elasticities of
  Demand for food and its com-

PONENT VALUES PRODUCED BY FARMERS, MANUFACTURERS, AND OTHER MARKETING AGENCIES IN THE UNITED STATES, 1929–56. Unpublished Doct. Dis. Univ. of Chicago.

- (7) Heady, Earl O., and Schnittker, John A.
  1957. APPLICATION OF INPUT-OUTPUT
  MODELS TO AGRICULTURE. Jour.
  Farm Econ. 39:745-58.
- (8) Isard, W.
  1951. Interregional and regional input-output analysis. Rev. of Econ. and Statis. 33:318-28.
- (9) LEONTIEF, WASSILY
  1951. THE STRUCTURE OF THE AMERICAN
  ECONOMY, 1919-39. Ed. 2. New
  York. Oxford Univ. Press.
- (10) MARTIN, WILLIAM E., AND CARTER, HAROLD O.

1960. PROBLEMS AND APPLICATIONS OF A CALIFORNIA INTERINDUSTRY MODEL. Proc. West. Farm Econ. Assoc., 33d Annu. Mtg., Stanford, Calif. pp. 126–45.

- (11) Masucci, Robert A.

  1959. dollar volume of agriculture's transactions with industry.

  U.S. Dept. Agr. Mktg. Res. Rpt.

  375.
- (12) NATIONAL BUREAU ECONOMIC RESEARCH
  1959. INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS: AN APPRAISAL. Princeton, Princeton
  Univ. Press. (Studies in Income
  and Wealth, Vol. 18).
- (13) OGREN, KENNETH E.

  1955. THE MARKETING BILL FOR AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS. Agr. Econ. Res.
  7:101-07. Oct.
- (14) Ritz, Philip M., and Rudney, Gabriel G.
  1953. General explanations of the 200
  Sector tables: the 1947 interDubustry relations study report 33. Washington. Bur. Labor Stat., U.S. Dept. Labor.
- (15) U.S. Department of Agriculture
  1961. The marketing and transportation situation. Econ. Res. Serv.
  July.

# Table 1.—Interindustry transactions in 1947—FARM PRODUCTS (All figures in millions of dollars)

		1117)	(All lightes in minimus of donars,	in circuit	dollars)						
ector No.	Sector	Meat animals	Poultry and eggs	Farm dairy products	Food	Oil- bearing crops	Fruits farm and food vegetables products	Other farm food products	Cotton	Tobaeco	Feeds, other farm nonfood products
		<b>—</b>	2	က	4	ro	9	7	8	6	10
	INTERMEDIATE SECTORS										
_	Farm products: Meat animals	1, 196. 5	10	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 	
ca co	Poultry and eggs Farm dairy products	130.0	308. 0	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1
410	Food grains	82. 7 2. 6	183. 0		224. 8	93. 0					
100	oles	79. 5	. 2				121. 4	3.7			
- 00 0	Cotton	7.9	1	7.9					27. 4		
10	Feeds and other farm nonfood products	3, 760.8	1, 100.5	1, 784. 9	249.4	56. 4	116.4	18.0	141. 0	20.4	907.6
12	Medic packing	1				1		1			
14	Canning, preserving, freezing (excluding	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	! ! ! ! ! !	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 	 	 	1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	
15	Grain-mill products	310.9	1, 285.6	540.5	22.9	6.1	15.7	3.0	6. 2	7	68.8
17	Vegetable oils	108.1	14.9	53. 3			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				11.8
180	Sugar.	4.2	4 6	12.9		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
20	Tobacco manufactures	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			
21	Textile mill products	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1		- 10		0 66	-6	4.0	% %	31. 6 6. 9
222	Apparel Other manufactured farm nonfoods.	6.6	3.2	23.7				4	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	I 1 1 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
24	Trade, services and other sectors: Wholesale trade	73. 4	103.9	50. 2	64. 1	12. 2	62. 4	7.3	20.0	5.9	138, 8
25 26	i	135. 2	235. 0	125. 6							189. 2
222	Warehousing and storage	302.8	3.1	123.6	45.9	8.9	75.8	(*)	16.6	6.8	2.0 213.1 1.6
30	Communication, business, and personal services.	274. 6			512. 9	163. 5	159, 3	39. 9	301.8	86. 5	1, 239. 7
322	Coal, gas, and electric power	70 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16.5 20.0 30.1	97.8 94.5	22.2 21.5 162.3	7.0	3. 8 4. 2 257. 4	2.6	12.0	35.8 45.3	7. 1 24. 1 660. 9
3	FINAL DEMAND SECTORS										-
201	Foreign trade (noncompetitive imports) Federal Government	30. 7 90. 3	6.8	15.5	45.4	6.7	28.2	8.3	28. 2	7.1	88.7 189.3
105 105 106	Gross capital formation.	2, 868. 5 9, 568. 1	322.5	1,868.5 5,063.0	1,847.3 3,318.6	622.8 1,060.6	2, 871. 7 4, 012. 4	185. 0 310. 4	1, 521. 0 2, 242. 2	656.17 884. 2	5, 766. 2 9, 550. 2

Table 1.—Interindustry transactions in 1947—Continued—MANUFACTURED FARM PRODUCTS (All figures in millions of dollars)

						22	-	12-22-25					
Sector No.	Meat packing	Poultry dressing plants	Processed dairy products	Canning, preserving, freezing (excluding fish)	Grain- mill products	Bakery products	Vegeta- ble oils	Sugar	Other manufac- tured farm foods	Tobacco manufac- tures	Textile mill products	Apparel	Other manufac- tured farm nonfoods
1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
H.	DIA	SECTORS	W.										
	7, 870. 8	295. 0	1, 929. 2	26.7	7 368 1	17.1			181.3				
			20.0	719.0	25.25	1.8 13.2 5.8	671.3	180.4	92. 0 39. 3 49. 5	1.6	1 410 6		12.5 136.5 57.4 . 5
0.0				37.	639. 1 31. 4	109.3	(*)	*	303. 4	796.9	586.	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	279.7 741.1
	23.4 1.8	10.5		2.4 34.1 20.3	14.8 12.7 338.9	93. 7 47. 9 692. 5	(*) 1 29. 5	(¥) (¥)	42. 5 38. 5 91. 0	t			
	15.1 5.4 13.7	(*)	5.0	3. 8 41. 4 78. 7 149. 1	5. 4 246. 4 30. 6 97. 3	19.1 109.0 365.6	(*) 24. 0 (*) 178. 4	684.7	11. 5 711. 3 222. 8 495. 6	4.3	29.7		1.2 31.5 6.9 46.8
	17.6 17.6 95.6	30.4	8.2	20.3	184. 1 161. 6 54. 2	53.4	8.9 43.1 67.0	(*) (*) (*) (*) (*)	2.3 19.5 75.3	38.7	1, 230. 9 7. 4 35. 0 223. 7 (*)	3, 766. 0 1, 738. 8 50. 9 364. 6	91.0 6.0 1, 444.2 80.8
33210323	28.1 159.6 54.9 86.3 29.6 18.4 224.3	24.0.1.0.1.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.0.	61.8 151.5 67.2 67.2 12.5 51.3	1.9 45.0 359.2 103.1 13.5 7.9	295.4 36.8 122.3 21.7 27.2	92.7 123.4 113.5 10.1	30.3 30.3 15.1 1.0 20.5 70.2	25.0 10.2 18.2 13.2 5.0	293. 3 238. 5 238. 5 23. 6 11. 0	36. 5 115. 8 106. 0 106. 0 72. 4	22.6 155.2 83.9 163.9 107.0 28.0	271.8 35.7 35.7 11.2	4.6 143.4 328.6 265.2 40.2 22.9
FINAL	DE	SECT											
101	137.9	7.9	80.8	85.2	135.0	118.1	73.0	24.5	821.3 224.8 23.9	(*) 83. 3 7. 4	111. 0 537. 7 56. 3	77. 9 457. 4 34. 3	3.8 411.9 43.3
105	1, 404. 9 10, 346. 4	70.3	3, 646. 6	2, 464. 2	5, 343. 9	1, 266. 7 3, 352. 2	1, 737. 0	1, 180. 4	1, 201. 1 5, 567. 1	2, 564. 0	3, 674. 3 9, 457. 4	4, 682. 7 12, 561. 6	2, 471. 0 7, 382. 1
1	0010	000											

\*Less than \$500,000.

Table 1.—Interindustry transactions in 1947—Continued—TRADE, SERVICES, AND OTHER SECTORS

(All figures in millions of dollars)

All other sectors	33		1, 0 38, 1 12, 2	42.	68.8	342. 6	66	128. C	62. 1 47. 7	419, 1 132, 0 257, 3			1, 021. 9 2, 318. 7 163. 3	151. t	3,883.0	3, 787. 1	997. 667.	52, 093. 0	38.8	5, 517. 2 1, 081. 7	67, 935. 6 149, 348. 0
Construction	32					92. 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				Y . I	47. 2	1, 315, 9 1, 199, 0	7.0	1, 262. 2	1, 481. 3		10, 946. 1.			16, 655, 5
Coal, gas and electric power	31						U. J.	(*)	*	(*)	2.3	2.2	39. 8 1. 5	(*)	3	147.1	1, 271. 9 263. 1	1, 109. 7		528. 5 518. 9	5, 173. 7
Communica- tion, business and personal services	30		0.5			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.3.	1.9	1.0	(*)		33, 6 15, 0	35.2 504.3 95.5	4.3		5, 889, 8	4,059.0	774.	36. 0	1, 607. 1 4, 239. 9	37, 569. 1 61, 686. 4
Packaging supplies	29					5.9	(*)	7.8		19. &	70.7	52. 8 19. 5	1, 9 240, 6 . 1	3, 4		1, 074, 4		3, 404. 1		525. 9 57. 2	3, 880. 8 10, 874. 2
sing Transpor- Packaging tation supplies	28		1.1	2.1				2.3	2.5	(*)	;	15. 0. 0. 0. 0.		11. 5	968. 4	1, 068. 7	533, 4 1, 244, 3	997.	357. 9	1, 358, 5 654, 5	12, 581. 7 21, 321. 8
Warehousing and storage	27					8.0							2.6		27.8		16.3			29. 0 26. 4	352.9
Eating and drinking places	26		357. 6 165. 5	261.5		7.2	57.9	590. 3	95. 2	56.3	E . 707	21.3	986.1 1, 058.2 18.1	9. 5	342, 6	881.9	219. 3	599, 4	1	1,099.7	4, 590. 9 13, 521. 8
Retail trade	25	SECTORS			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						1. 5 115. 1 109. 7		390.8		399. 0 151. 9	5   1,207.2		1, 274. 7	16, 523. 5 26, 239. 7
Wholesale	24	INTERMEDIATE SE					8.5	1.6	(*)	7.		13.9	1.1 69.7 70.1	9.	45. 4 900 E	2, 025, 9	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			1, 415, 3 235, 8	10, 819. 0 16, 225. 5
Sector No.		INTERN	H 02 02 4	7 6 5	-∞c	10	12:	14	16	18	20	21 22	2 2 2 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	26	28	30.	32		00	101	105

0444004 | 81494890171108884678930011440 877 | 90 |

# Table 1.—Interindustry transactions in 1947—Continued—FINAL DEMAND SECTORS

(All figures in millions of dollars)

	Gross domestic output	•	106	222, 066, 1	.003
	House- holds		105	28 25 2 2 294 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	070
	tions	All other sectors		10.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	407.
r changes	Depletions	Producing sector	4	(*)	9000
Inventory changes	tions	All other sectors	104	21. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.	30,4
	Additions	Producing sector		160.9 160.9 160.9 17.7 17.7 10.5 10.	179.
	Gross private capital	formation	103	29, 263. 1 29, 263. 1 240. 1 240. 1 240. 1 240. 1 240. 1 240. 1 240. 1 240. 1 240. 1	927.
	State and local gov-	ernment	102	0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0	×1×.
	Federal Government		101	23. 4 4 3.9 5 6 7 7 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	985.
trade	Competitive	imports	100	SECTORS  -15.3 -15.3 -15.3 -15.3 -15.3 -15.0 -15	5, 699.
Foreign trade		Exports	1(	MEDIATE  23.7  21.3  602.1  602.1  44.5  161.3  602.1  44.5  161.3  88.2  88.3  175.7  1,050.4  1,050.7  1,050.4  1,050.7	18, 621. 9
	Q.	No.		INTER 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	106

<sup>\*</sup>Less than \$500,000.

Table 2.—Direct purchases in 1947—FARM PRODUCTS

Feed crops and other farm nonfood products		0.09504		. 00331 . 00072 . 00019	. 01981 . 02231 . 02231 . 02017 . 12981 . 06920
Товассо		0.02307	.00079		. 00633 . 00769 . 09783 . 09068 . 05123
Cotton 8	0.01222	. 06289	. 00277	. 00892	. 00954 . 00022 . 00740 . 13460
Other farm food products	0.01192		. 01224	. 02352	. 02416 (*) . 01224 . 12854
Fruits and vegetables	0.03026	. 02901	. 00391	. 00845	. 01448 . 00020 . 01889 . 03335 . 03970 . 00095
Oil- bearing crops	0.08769	.05318	. 00575	. 001150	. 01490 . 00009 . 00839 . 15416
Food grains	0.06774	. 07515		. 00066	. 01525 . 00033 . 01383 . 15455 . 00066
Farm dairy products	0.00156	35254	. 10676 . 01053 . 00255 . 00178	.00992	. 02481 . 02441 . 02896 . 00506 . 01866
Poultry and eggs	0.07971	. 28480	. 33270 . 00396	. 02689	
Meat animals 1	0, 12505 . 01359 . 00864 . 00027 . 000831	39306	03249	69000	. 01413 . 01023 . 03165 . 02870 . 00058 . 00800
Sector	Farm products:  Meat animals. Poultry and eggs. Farm dairy products. Food grains. Oil-bearing crops. Fruits and vegetables. Cotton. Tobacco	Manufactured farm products.  Meat packing.  Poultry dressing plants	Grain-mill products	Textile mill products	Eating and drinking places  Fating and drinking places  Warehousing and storage  Transportation  Packaging supplies  Communication, business, and personal services  Coal, gas and electric power  All other sectors
Sector No.	1264709780	10 11 12 13 14	115 116 118 119	222222	25 27 28 30 31 32 32

\*Less than 0.000005.

Table 2.—Direct Purchases in 1947—Continued—MANUFACTURED FARM PRODUCTS

	Other manufac- tured farm nonfoods	23	0. 00633 01849 01849 00778 00007 00001 000016 000042 000427 000427 000427 000033 00033 000031 000451 00065 0006 0006 00065 00065 00065
	Apparel	22	0.00144 0.001444 0.000411 0.02902 0.0391 0.00391 0.00394 0.00391 0.00394 0.00394 0.00394 0.00394 0.00394 0.00394 0.00394
FRODUCIS	Textile mill products	21	0.15010 0.05204 0.0373 0.0374 0.00378 0.00378 0.00370 0.02365 (*) (*) 0.02365 (*) 0.02365 (*) 0.0339 0.0339 0.01331 0.01331 0.01331 0.01331
FARM FRO	Tobacco manufac- tures	20	0.00062 31080 0.00168 0.00406 32941 0.01509 0.01724 0.01734
- 1	Other manufac- tured farm foods	19	(*) 0.03257 0.01044 (*) 0.010424 0.06839 0.0692
OFACIO	Sugar	18	0.15283 0.15283 (*) (*) (*) (*) 0.00025 0.00034 (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
Continued—MAN OF ACTONED	Vegetable oils	17	(*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
	Bakery	16	0.00510 0.00594 0.00594 0.00394 0.0173 0.02795 0.0262 0.0262 0.0262 0.00570 0.0262 0.01593 0.00098
archases in 1341	Grain-mill products	15	0.34183 0.0470 0.0052 0.00588 0.00277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0277 0.0101 0.0578 0.0573 0.0
	Canning, preserving, freezing (excluding fish)	14	0. 01084 0. 01084 0. 010824 0. 010824 0. 010824 0. 010824 0. 01680 0.
E 2.—Direct I	Processed dairy products	13	0.00483 0.00483 0.00548 0.00548 0.00560 0.00596 0.00596 0.00525 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052 0.00052
LABLE	Poultry dressing plants	12	0. 53881 0. 01790 0. 01790 0. 0183 0. 01918 0. 00237 0. 00237 0. 006429 0. 06429 0. 06429 0. 06429 0. 06429 0. 06429 0. 06429 0. 06429 0. 06312 0. 06312 0. 06312 0. 06312 0. 06312 0. 06312
	Meat packing	11	0.76073 0.00023 0.0023 0.0026 0.0026 0.0017 0.00068 0.00170
	Sector No.		100 8 4 4 9 9 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

\*Less than 0.000005.

Table 2.—Direct purchases—Continued—TRADE SERVICES AND OTHER SECTORS

All other sectors	0.00001 0.00028 0.00028 0.00038 0.00042 0.00030 0.0
Coal, gas and electric power	(*) (*) (*) (*) (00011 (000011 (000011 (000014 (000014 (000016) (13755 (13755
Communication, business and personal services	(*)  (*)  (*)  (*)  (*)  (*)  (*)  (*)
Packaging supplies 29	(*) (*) (*) 00002 00002 00005 00005 00018 00018 000179 00018 00018 00018 00018 01749 01632 01632
Transporta- tion 28	(*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
Warehousing and storage 27	0.01478 0.00480 000480 00137 01127 01127 016744 03012 04379
Eating and drinking places 26	0.02645 0.01224 0.01934 0.0053 0.05210 0.0428 0.0428 0.0428 0.0774 0.0158 0.0158 0.07293 0.07293 0.07293 0.07293 0.0070 0.0070 0.0070 0.00534 0.00534 0.00534 0.00534
Retail trade 25	0.00051 0.0006 00039 000439 000489 017489 017496 01521 04601
Wholesale trade	(*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
Sector No.	1284707 800111111111111111111111111111111111

\*Less than 0.000005.

Table 3.—Direct and indirect purchases in 1947—FARM PRODUCTS

Feed crops and other farm non- food products	10	0. 497597 394299 - 405016 - 0088920 - 006666 - 007041 - 002551 1. 105034 - 382632 - 240502 - 240502 - 237329 - 003112 - 144615 - 002427 - 002427 - 005151 - 005151 - 005124 - 005124 - 005124 - 005124 - 005124 - 005124 - 005125 - 005
Tobacco	6	
Cotton	00	0.000237 .000424 .000327 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000017 .0001375 .000017 .00001437 .000437 .000437 .000437 .000437 .000437 .000437 .000437 .000437 .000437 .000248 .175964 .0000143 .0024684 .000014 .000001 .000001 .000001 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002 .000002
Other farm food products	7	0.000001 0.000013 0.000013 (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
Fruits and vegetables	9	0.000098 (**) (**) (**) (**) (**) (**) (**) (**)
Oil- bearing crops	ű	0.000581 0.000873 0.000011 (*) (*) 0.000001 (*) 0.000093 0.000454 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.002354 0.000011 (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
Food	4	0.001640 147071 1.072691 0.000025 0.000485 0.000485 0.000485 0.00011 (*) (*) 0.00031 0.00031 0.000325 391521 0.00035 0.0003
Farm dairy products	က	0.0001553 0.000002 (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
Poultry and eggs	2	0.000001 1.086618 000002 (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*) (*)
Meat animals	-	1. 142925 . 0000151 . 000001 . (*) . 000001 . (*) . 000001 . 878810 . 0000119 . 00011367 . 0001367 . 000416 . 000417 . 000417 . 000417 . 000417 . 0000417 . 0000417 . 0000417 . 0000417 . 0000417 . 0000417 . 0000417 . 0000011 . (*) . (*
Sector		Farm products:  Meat animals— Poultry and eggs———————————————————————————————————
Sector No.		100

\*Less than 0.0000005.

Table 3.—Direct and indirect purchases in 1947—Continued—MANUFACTURED FARM PRODUCTS

Other manufae- tured farm nonfoods 23	0.000023 0.001463 0.001463 0.001463 0.00001 0.00001 0.00001 0.00001 0.00003 0.00003 0.00003 0.00003 0.00003 0.00003 0.00003 0.00001
Apparel 22	0. 000018 0. 0001547 000003 0000014 0001002 0000017 0000025 0000025 0000025 0000025 0000027 00000027 00000027
Textile mill products	0.000027 0.000533 0.000176 0.000010 0.000017 0.000025 0.000104 0.000127 0.000028 0.000128 0.000128 0.000129 0.000129 0.000129 0.000026 0.000026 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000027 0.000028 0.000028 0.000028 0.000028 0.000028 0.000028 0.000028 0.000028 0.000028
Tobacco manufae- tures	**************************************
Other manufac- tured farm foods	0.000163 0.000163 0.00001 0.000001 0.000001 0.000001 0.000003 0.00001
Sugar 18	0.0000033 0.0000033 0.0000633 0.0000633 0.0000124 0.001124 0.001124 0.001124 0.001124 0.001124 0.001124 0.001126 0.001116 0.001116 0.000002 0.000001 0.000001 0.000001
Vegetable oils	0. 001370 0.002002 0.002002 0.000002 0.000001 0.00001 0.00274 0.00274 0.00274 0.00274 0.00274 0.017108 1. 037848 1. 037848 1. 037848 1. 037848 1. 037848 1. 037848 0.00207 0.000014 0.000016 0.000016 0.000016 0.000016 0.0000016 0.0000016 0.0000016 0.0000016 0.0000016 0.0000016 0.0000016 0.0000016 0.0000016
Bakery products	0.000004 0.000004 0.000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.0000001 0.00000001 0.00000001 0.00000001 0.00000001 0.0000000000
Grain-mill products	0.004183 386047 114029 0000080 00000831 001323 001323 001323 0003217 234517 234517 006842 006862
Canning, preserving, freezing (excluding fish)	0.000003 0.000003 0.000003 0.000023 0.000021 0.000023 0.000024 0.000026 0.000026 0.000026 0.00002758 0.000004 0.00004 0.00004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.000004 0.0000
Processed dairy products	0.0000012 0.0000014 0.0000014 0.0000014 0.000002 0.000010 0.000010 0.000010 0.000010 0.000010 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002 0.000002
Poultry dressing plants	1. 1. 25.392 0000038 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009 000009
Meat packing 11	0.000003 0.000173 0.00001 0.00001 (*) 0.00001 1.010759 0.000137 0.0001772 0.0001772 0.0001772 0.0001772 0.0001772 0.0001772 0.0001772 0.0001772 0.00001 (*) 0.00001 (*) 0.00001 0.00001 (*) 0.00001
No.	122

\*Less than 0.0000005.

Table 3.—Direct and indirect purchases in 1947—Continued—TRADE, SERVICES AND OTHER SECTORS

Sector No.	Wholesale trade	Retail trade	Eating and drinking places	Warehousing and storage	Transportation	Packaging supplies	Communication, business and personal services	Coal, gas and electric power	All other sectors
	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32
-	P98000 0	0 009691	*	0 000015	0 005044	7900000	0 078178	7 10000	010920
7 67	. 004251	. 007650		. 000008	. 007395	. 000173	. 087001	. 000154	0.018232
თ ₹	. 000936	. 003364	**	. 000003	. 004295	. 000182	. 069799	. 000122	. 020119
4 10	001354	. 001664	Đŧ	Đ.ŧ	0000290	000174	188366	. 000088	. 039042
9	. 001646	. 001507	*	*	. 002102	. 004242	. 005580	. 000038	. 012918
<u></u>	. 002474	. 002472	*	*	. 001433	. 000170	. 145800	. 000082	. 037504
x c	. 000166	. 000114	* *	* *	. 000191	. 000141	. 151801	790000.	. 033081
n 01	001686	000009	÷*	*	000114	. 000041	150376	81000018	. 009689
11	. 000764	. 002015	*	000039	. 005523	. 000151	. 058705	0000038	. 017449
12	. 008882	. 004652	*	. 000005	. 004653	. 000238	. 054147	. 000141	. 017133
5.	. 002453	. 001974		. 000002	. 004537	. 005747	. 043610	. 000199	. 017184
4 H	. 001382	. 000749	0.00001	. 000001	. 003300	. 180167	. 049193	. 000563	. 096854
91	002329	. 000334	·*	900000	001203	00201	025987	000130	012233
17	. 004778	. 000692	*	. 000021	. 002401	. 000949	103632	. 000141	. 030185
819	. 001133	000000.	*	. 000002	. 005835	. 000342	. 057213	. 003145	. 021104
200	002231	000150	***	. 000004	. 003503	. 007223	. 022132	. 000125	. 013897
212	. 002802	000036	*	000028	. 002083	. 000223	030011	. 00007.8	023402
22	. 004384	. 000013	*	. 000010	. 000849	. 000198	. 013747	. 000602	. 021514
533	. 001526	. 000267	*	900000.	. 003321	. 006845	. 013821	. 000143	. 021878
47.0	I. 004389	. 000046	* *	* *	. 000136	. 002393	138757.	. 000135	. 034359
9.6	007930	1. 004201	1 000000	000001	001696	. 002327	. 194391	298100	. 040746
272	020000	000000	(*)	1 000001	005441	000131	003200	001303	000000
28	. 000125	. 000071	, 000001	. 0000066	1. 047875	690000	005890	790800	016453
29	. 003545	. 000007	. 000005	. 000001		1, 218019	. 004239	. 003022	. 535814
30	. 000381	000019	. 000002	*	. 000539	. 000791	1, 106140	. 000399	. 184554
331	. 000383	. 000003	. 000002	(*)	. 003022	000879	. 002708	1, 159827	. 211875
3					001500	700000	100.00	000700	1. 013300

<sup>\*</sup>Less than 0.0000005.

